

---

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

---

In the Matter of and Among,  UNITED HEALTHCARE INSURANCE COMPANY  and  ENVISION HEALTHCARE CORPORATION AND SHERIDAN HEALTHCORP, INC.	<b>Case No. 23-cv-60623</b> <b>Case No. 23-mc-21246</b> (original case number administratively closed on 3/31/2023)
--	--

**ENVISION'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR ENTRY OF ORDER**  
**SEALING MOTION TO CONFIRM ARBITRATION AWARD AND EXHIBITS A**  
**THROUGH E**

Envision Healthcare Corporation and Sheridan Healthcorp, Inc. (collectively, "Envision"), by and through their undersigned counsel, pursuant to Local Rule 5.4, respectfully request that the Court enter an Order sealing Envision's Motion to Confirm Arbitration Award and all Exhibits (Docket Entry No. 1), and state as follows:

1. Envision and United Healthcare Insurance Company ("United") were parties to a Medical Group Participation Agreement (the "Agreement"), effective May 1, 2009, which is attached to Envision's Motion to Confirm the Arbitration Award as Exhibit A.
2. On March 12, 2018, Envision filed suit against United: *Envision Healthcare Corporation et al v. United Healthcare Insurance Company*, Docket No. 0:18-cv-60530 (S.D. Fla. Mar 12, 2018).
3. On April 6, 2018, United filed a Motion to Compel Arbitration pursuant to the Agreement. United's Motion to Compel Arbitration was granted. Envision and United each filed Demands for Arbitration to resolve disputes regarding the Agreement.

4. The AAA Panel conducted a hearing in the fall of 2022 within this Court's jurisdiction in Florida.

5. In January and March 2023, the Panel entered two Interim Awards ("Interim Arbitration Awards") which are attached as Exhibit D and E to Envision's Motion to Confirm the Arbitration Award, respectively.

6. On March 31, 2023, Envision filed its Motion to Confirm the Arbitration Award.<sup>1</sup>

7. On April 5, 2023, in light of on-going motion practice, the AAA Panel issued an Order directing Envision to file a motion to seal the Motion to Confirm Arbitration Award, which also includes the Interim Arbitration Awards.

8. As such, Envision requests that the Motion to Confirm the Arbitration Award and its Exhibits be sealed, to which United agrees.

9. In evaluating motions to seal, the district court must balance a party's interest in keeping the information confidential against the public interest in accessing court documents. See *Chicago Tribune Co. v. Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc.*, 263 F. 3d, 1304, 1314-15 (11th Cir. 2001); *Romero v. Drummond Co., Inc.*, 480 F. 3d 1234, 1246 (11th Cir. 2005). In balancing these interests, the Eleventh Circuit has instructed that Courts consider, *inter alia*:

[W]hether allowing access would impair court functions or harm legitimate privacy interests, the degree of and likelihood of injury if made public, the reliability of the information, whether there will be an opportunity to respond to the information, whether the information concerns public officials or public concerns, and the availability of a less onerous alternative to sealing the documents.

*See Romero*, 480 F. 3d at 1246.

---

<sup>1</sup> Envision's Motion was originally filed in the Miami Vicinage of the Southern District of Florida, and assigned case number 23-mc-21246. That case was subsequently transferred on the Court's own initiative to the Fort Lauderdale Vicinage and assigned docket number 23-cv-60623. Accordingly, Envision requests that all relief ordered in this case apply equally and fully to the filings in case number 23-mc-21246 and 23-cv-60623.

10. Upon conducting this balancing test, Courts have found that a company's interest in the privacy of its financial records and the terms of confidential agreement often outweigh the public right of access. *See Local Access, LLC v. Peerless Network, Inc.*, 2016 WL 374948, \*1 (M.D. Fla. 2016) (granting motion to seal documents containing confidential information about the parties' business plans, pricing information and client contacts). Indeed, the Eleventh Circuit has even recognized that “[a] party’s privacy or proprietary interest in information sometimes overcomes the interest of the public in accessing the information.” *See Romero*, 480 F.3d at 1246.

11. In addition to the Panel’s pending confidentiality determination, here, ample good cause exists to keep the parties’ confidential and proprietary business information and specific reimbursement amounts confidential (*i.e.*, references to specific reimbursement amounts provided for under the Agreement). In particular, Envision has an interest in keeping its proprietary agreements with United private from their competitors and other insurance companies. *See NXP B.V. v. Research in Motion, Ltd.*, 2013 WL 4402833, \*2 (M.D. Fla. Aug. 15, 2013) (motion by the parties to seal documents granted because allowing public access could violate a party’s legitimate private interests). The likelihood of injury to both parties, if such information is made public, would be significant. In fact, in recognition of such harm, the Agreement contains a confidentiality clause requiring the parties to treat such information as confidential. *See Trailer Bridge, Inc. v. PCS Nitrogen, Inc.*, 2009 WL 2231704, \*1 (M.D. Fla. 2009) (sealing exhibits to a complaint because they contained clauses requiring them and all terms and conditions contained in them to be treated as confidential and exempt from publication to third parties). Further, there are no other means available to Envision except to file the Motion to Confirm and accompanying Exhibits under seal.

WHEREFORE, Envision Healthcare Corporation and Sheridan Healthcorp, Inc., respectfully request entry of an Order sealing the Motion to Confirm the Arbitration Award, all the accompanying Exhibits A through E, and any additional relief as is just, equitable and proper.<sup>2</sup> As discussed above, Envision requests that any relief ordered in this case apply equally to the filings in case number 23-mc-21246 and 23-cv-60623, to ensure full sealing. Envision reserves the right to seek to have these documents unsealed at a later date.

**LOCAL RULE 7.1(a)(3) CERTIFICATION**

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(a)(3), Envision has conferred with United's counsel in good faith about this application. United does not oppose the Motion to Seal and agrees to the relief requested, *i.e.*, the sealing of the Motion to Confirm Arbitration Award and all Exhibits.

Respectfully submitted this 7th day of April 2023.

By: s/ Robert R. Hearn  
Robert R. Hearn, Esq.  
**EPSTEIN BECKER & GREEN, P.C.**  
One Beach Drive  
SE, Suite 303  
St. Petersburg, Florida 33701  
Email: rhearn@ebglaw.com  
T: (727) 346-3767  
F: (888) 509-0788

Anthony Argiopoulos, Esq. (motion for *pro hac vice* admission forthcoming)  
Thomas Kane, Esq. (motion for *pro hac vice* admission forthcoming)  
Scheherazade A. Wasty, Esq. (motion for *pro hac vice* admission forthcoming)  
**EPSTEIN BECKER & GREEN, P.C.**

---

<sup>2</sup> If the Court is not inclined to grant this Unopposed Motion, Envision requests that the parties have an opportunity for an immediate, brief telephonic hearing before the Court. If the Court is unwilling to hold a brief telephonic hearing, United has asked Envision to request that United, who has yet to appear, have an opportunity to submit a brief to further support the Motion to Seal, as the relevant docket entries to date are already restricted per request of both parties and pending this Motion to Seal.

150 College Road West, Suite 301  
Princeton, New Jersey 08540  
Email: aargiopoulos@ebgalw.com  
Email: tkane@ebglaw.com  
Email: swasty@ebglaw.com  
T: (609) 455-1540  
F: (609) 228-5318

*Attorneys for Envision Healthcare  
Corporation and Sheridan Healthcorp,  
Inc.*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 7<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2023, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was electronically filed with the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida and served via email communication on the following:

REED SMITH LLP  
Dan J. Hofmeister  
Molly E. Nehring  
10 S. Wacker Drive  
Chicago, IL 60606  
Telephone: (312) 207-1000  
Facsimile: (312) 207-6400  
dhofmeister@reedsmith.com  
mnehring@reedsmith.com

Mildred Segura  
355 S. Grand Ave., Suite 2900  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
Telephone: (213) 457-8000  
Facsimile: (213) 457-8080  
msegura@reedsmith.com

Edward Mullins  
200 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 2600  
Southeast Financial Center  
Miami, FL 33131  
emullins@reedsmith.com

By: s/ Robert R. Hearn  
Robert R. Hearn, Esq.